Proceedings of Business and Economic Studies, 2025, Volume 8, Issue 4
http://ojs.bbwpublisher.com/index.php/PBES
Online ISSN: 2209-265X
Print ISSN: 2209-2641

Research on Optimizing the Index System of Value Assessment of Transportation Infrastructure Assets

Jie-ming Xia*

58 Enterprise Services (Beijing) Industrial Operation Management Development Co., Ltd., Beijing 100000, China

**Author to whom correspondence should be addressed.*

Abstract: This paper focuses on the optimization of the evaluation index system for the value of transportation infrastructure assets. It analyzes the shortcomings of the current system and explores the directions for optimizing the index system from the perspectives of functionality, economy, social impact, environmental impact, and sustainability. The paper also discusses the application of the optimized index system in practical evaluation and the measures to ensure its effectiveness. The research aims to enhance the evaluation mechanism for the value of transportation infrastructure assets, providing a more scientific basis for decision-making, addressing challenges in asset management, improving the level of asset management in transportation infrastructure, and meeting the demands of high-quality development in the transportation sector in the new era.

Keywords: Transportation infrastructure; Asset value evaluation; Index system; Optimization research

Online publication: September 10, 2025

1. Introduction

In recent years, the development of transportation infrastructure in our country has seen remarkable achievements, with the transportation infrastructure network gradually improving and the transportation sector entering a new era of high-quality development. However, the increasing pressure on facility management and maintenance, along with extensive operational management, has accelerated the depreciation and loss of transportation assets. Transportation infrastructure is a crucial foundation for social and economic development, and its asset valuation is vital for resource allocation, investment decisions, and asset management. While the current evaluation index system is effective, it fails to fully reflect the true value of assets, with issues such as one-sided indicator selection and unreasonable weight settings. Optimizing the existing system can enhance the accuracy of evaluations, providing valuable references for the planning, construction, operation, and maintenance of transportation facilities, thereby promoting the continuous and healthy development of the transportation sector.

2. Problems existing in the index system of value assessment of transportation infrastructure assets

2.1. The selection of indicators is not comprehensive

The current indicator systems primarily focus on the economic and physical attributes of transportation infrastructure, often overlooking its social, environmental, and sustainable development values. In the evaluation process, the emphasis is typically placed on economic indicators such as construction costs and operational revenues, while neglecting the social benefits of transportation infrastructure, such as boosting regional economic growth and enhancing travel convenience for residents, as well as its impact on the ecological environment and long-term sustainability. For instance, some evaluation systems fail to consider the social welfare benefits of transportation facilities, such as improving access to educational resources and medical services along the route, and their potential value in addressing climate change and reducing ecological damage [1]. This one-sided selection of indicators results in evaluation outcomes that do not fully reflect the comprehensive value of assets, making it difficult to meet diverse evaluation needs.

2.2. The weight of indicators is not set reasonably

The setting of indicator weights is a critical factor affecting the accuracy of evaluation results. In the current indicator system, some weights lack scientific basis and are often based on subjective assumptions. Some evaluation systems overemphasize economic indicators while underestimating social and environmental factors, leading to an assessment that leans heavily towards economic value and fails to objectively reflect the actual impact of various factors on asset value. Moreover, different types of transportation infrastructure have distinct characteristics, but the existing indicator system does not adequately consider these differences and adopts a uniform weight setting model. For example, when evaluating urban rail transit versus rural roads, the weight ratios are not adjusted according to the former's social service attributes and the latter's agricultural support functions, which affects the relevance and rationality of the assessment.

3. The optimization direction of the asset value evaluation index system of transportation infrastructure

3.1. Expand the coverage of indicators

Based on the existing economic and physical attributes, incorporate social value, environmental value, and sustainability indicators to establish a comprehensive evaluation system. Social value indicators can include promoting regional employment, optimizing industrial layout, and improving residents' quality of life. Environmental value indicators should cover the protection of the ecological environment, reducing energy consumption, and decreasing pollutant emissions. Sustainability indicators should address the durability, maintainability, and adaptability to technological updates of assets. Specifically, social value indicators can be further refined to assess the enhancement of community cohesion and the promotion of equal public services. Environmental value indicators can extend to the consideration of biodiversity conservation and the maintenance of ecosystem integrity. Sustainability indicators can be expanded to predict the compatibility with future functional upgrades [2]. By broadening the scope of these indicators, we can more comprehensively reflect the overall value of transportation infrastructure assets, making the evaluation results more meaningful.

3.2. Scientifically determine the weight of indicators

Considering the type, function, and regional characteristics of transportation infrastructure, a scientific method should be used to determine the weight of each indicator. Techniques such as the Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP) and the Delphi method can be employed, combining quantitative and qualitative approaches to integrate expert opinions with actual conditions, thereby reasonably assessing the importance of each indicator. For different types of transportation infrastructure, such as highways, railways, and ports, the weight of indicators should be adjusted based on their specific characteristics to highlight their core value elements. For instance, in port assessments, the weight of logistics efficiency indicators should be increased, while in assessments of highways near scenic areas, the emphasis on ecological protection indicators should be heightened. Additionally, the process of determining weights should involve multiple stakeholders to ensure that the weight settings reflect the needs of all parties. A scientifically determined weight setting can enhance the objectivity and accuracy of the assessment results, making the evaluation system more targeted and practical.

3.3. Improve the index quantification method

To address new social, environmental, and sustainability indicators, a scientific quantification method should be established. By constructing a reasonable quantification model, indicators that are difficult to quantify directly can be transformed into measurable values. For social value indicators, the impact of transportation infrastructure on regional economic growth and travel time savings can be indirectly assessed through analysis. Environmental value indicators can be quantified based on the impact on ecosystems and resource conservation. Sustainability indicators can be measured by considering the asset's lifespan and trends in maintenance costs. During the quantification process, it is essential to clearly define the calculation dimensions and conversion coefficients for each indicator. For example, subjective indicators such as resident satisfaction can be converted into numerical scores through standardized questionnaires, and the degree of ecological impact can be calculated based on the loss of ecosystem service value [3]. A well-developed quantification method ensures the practicality of the evaluation process and enhances the reliability of the results.

4. Application of the optimized asset value evaluation index system for transportation infrastructure

4.1. Improve the scientific nature of investment decisions

The optimized indicator system comprehensively reflects the overall value of transportation infrastructure assets, providing a more reliable basis for investment decisions. In the early stages of project investment, this system can evaluate different investment options, allowing for a comparison of their comprehensive benefits in economic, social, environmental, and sustainability aspects, thus selecting the optimal investment plan. This approach helps avoid investment decision-making errors caused by a sole focus on economic gains, ensuring that investments align with the overall social interests and long-term development needs, thereby enhancing the rationality and effectiveness of transportation infrastructure investments. In specific decision-making processes, projects can be prioritized based on their comprehensive value scores, giving priority to those that, although offering average short-term economic returns, significantly improve regional livelihoods, protect the ecological environment, and have strong long-term sustainability, ensuring that investment directions are closely aligned with national development strategies and regional actual needs.

4.2. Strengthen the efficiency of asset management

By leveraging an optimized indicator system, we can more accurately assess the value of transportation infrastructure assets, thereby providing robust support for asset management. During the operation of these assets, regular evaluations allow us to promptly monitor changes in asset value, identify issues in their use and maintenance, and develop targeted management strategies. This approach helps allocate maintenance funds effectively, enhancing the efficiency and longevity of assets while reducing operational costs. Additionally, it provides a scientific basis for the renewal, renovation, and disposal of assets, ensuring efficient management and value preservation [4]. For instance, when formulating asset maintenance plans, we can allocate resources based on the assessed value decay rates and maintenance urgency of different sections and facilities, prioritizing timely maintenance for assets that are crucial to the overall traffic network and have significant social value.

4.3. Promote rational transportation planning

The optimized indicator system takes into account the multifaceted value of transportation infrastructure, providing a more comprehensive reference for traffic planning. When formulating traffic plans, the evaluation results can guide the rational layout of transportation infrastructure and coordinate the development of different types of transportation facilities across various regions. The impact of transportation infrastructure on society and the environment is fully considered, ensuring that the planning scheme meets economic development needs while also aligning with ecological protection and sustainable development goals. This enhances the foresight and scientific nature of traffic planning, promoting the improvement of the transportation network and the overall efficiency of the transportation system. In practical planning, the road network density and route directions can be optimized based on the traffic demand and capacity of different regions as reflected by the evaluations, avoiding excessive construction that could damage ecologically sensitive areas. At the same time, it ensures accessibility in remote areas, achieving balanced allocation and sustainable use of transportation resources.

5. Measures to ensure the effective implementation of the optimized index system

5.1. Establish and improve relevant system norms

Develop evaluation standards and operational guidelines for the optimized indicator system, clearly defining the evaluation process, methods for calculating indicators, and requirements for applying the results. Detailed operational guidelines should be provided for each step, including specifying the sources of data collection, the steps and formulas for quantifying indicators, and the format for compiling evaluation reports. Through institutional development, ensure that the evaluation work is conducted according to established procedures, enhancing the standardization and consistency of the evaluation. Additionally, establish a review and supervision mechanism for evaluation results, forming a professional review team to rigorously verify the authenticity of data and the applicability of methods during the evaluation process. Cross-verify the evaluation results to prevent human interference, ensuring the objectivity and fairness of the evaluation results, thus laying a solid institutional foundation for the promotion and application of the indicator system.

5.2. Strengthen the training of professional evaluation talents

The valuation of transportation infrastructure assets involves knowledge from multiple fields and requires a high level of professional competence from evaluators. To enhance the development of professional talent, it is essential to establish a multi-level training system that offers tailored courses for different levels of evaluators.

For entry-level personnel, the focus should be on foundational theories and operational skills, while advanced personnel should concentrate on evaluation strategies and innovative methods in complex scenarios. The training content should closely align with the practical needs of the optimized indicator system and include in-depth analysis of typical evaluation cases. Regular training sessions, interdisciplinary academic exchanges, and practical case studies can help evaluators better understand and apply the optimized indicator system, equipping them with multidisciplinary knowledge and advanced evaluation techniques. The goal is to cultivate a group of versatile evaluators who are proficient in both transportation engineering technology and have a solid understanding of economic, environmental, and social issues, thus ensuring the effective implementation of the indicator system.

5.3. Promoting the integration of evaluation technology and information technology

Using information technology, develop evaluation software and an information management platform tailored for the optimized indicator system. The platform should feature real-time data updates, multi-dimensional analysis, and visual presentations, supporting dynamic tracking of the evaluation process and intuitive results [5]. Through information tools, achieve efficient collection, processing, and analysis of evaluation data, thereby enhancing the efficiency and accuracy of the evaluation process. Establish a database of traffic infrastructure asset information, integrating various data such as design parameters, construction history, operational data, and maintenance records, to provide comprehensive data support for evaluations. Promote the integration of evaluation technology with big data, artificial intelligence, and other new technologies, using algorithm models to automatically identify key factors and potential correlations in evaluations, thereby enhancing the intelligence level of evaluations and improving the practicality and operability of the indicator system.

6. Conclusion

Optimizing the evaluation index system for traffic infrastructure assets is a crucial step to meet the demands of social development. It significantly enhances the scientific nature of evaluation results and promotes the scientific management of traffic infrastructure. By broadening the scope of indicators, scientifically setting weights, and refining quantification methods, a more comprehensive and reasonable evaluation system can be established. This system, when applied to investment decisions, asset management, and traffic planning, can promote the healthy development of the transportation sector and lay a solid foundation for the efficient allocation and sustainable use of resources in the transportation field. With institutional support, talent development, and technological integration, the optimized index system can be effectively implemented, providing robust support for the evaluation of traffic infrastructure assets, and helping the industry achieve higher-level development in the new era.

Disclosure statement

The author declares no conflict of interest.

References

[1] Lim T, Porras-Alvarado DJ, Zhang Z, 2019, Pricing of Highway Infrastructure for Transportation Asset Management. Built Environment Project and Asset Management, 9(1): 64–79.

[2] Sinha CK, Labi S, Agbelie KDRB, 2017, Transportation Infrastructure Asset Management in the New Millennium:

Continuing Issues, and Emerging Challenges and Opportunities. Transportmetrica A: Transport Science, 13(7): 591–606.

[3] Yuan C, McClure T, Cai H, et al., 2017, Life-Cycle Approach to Collecting, Managing, and Sharing Transportation Infrastructure Asset Data. Journal of Construction Engineering and Management, 143(6): 1–46.

[4] Porras-Alvarado DJ, Peters D, Han Z, et al., 2015, Novel Utility-Based Methodological Framework for Valuation of Road Infrastructure. Transportation Research Record, 2529(1): 37–45.

[5] Akofio-Sowah M, Boadi R, Amekudzi A, et al., 2013, Managing Ancillary Transportation Assets: The State of the Practice. Journal of Infrastructure Systems, 20(1): 04013010.