

The Practical Application of 3D Printing Technology in Spacecraft Manufacturing

Quan Zheng*

Guangzhou Foreign Language School, Guangzhou 510623, Guangdong, China

**Author to whom correspondence should be addressed.*

Copyright: © 2025 Author(s). This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY 4.0), permitting distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is cited.

Abstract: This paper systematically studies the current practical application status, existing problems and optimization suggestions of 3D printing technology in spacecraft manufacturing. Research shows that this technology has been successfully applied to the manufacturing of key components such as rocket engines and satellite structures, demonstrating advantages like lightweight and rapid prototyping. However, it still faces core challenges such as material performance, process stability, adaptability to space environments, and industrialization costs. In response to these issues, this paper proposes three optimization suggestions: enhancing manufacturing reliability by developing aerospace-specific materials, optimizing process parameters, and establishing a quality traceability system. Surface modification technology and topological optimization design are adopted to enhance the adaptability to the spatial environment, and a space-ground integrated verification method is constructed. Reduce industrialization costs through the localization of materials, modular production, and the construction of a standardized system. Research has confirmed that implementing these measures can reduce the performance dispersion of 3D-printed aerospace components by more than 50%, increase their in-orbit lifespan by three times, and lower production costs by 30–40%. The research results of this paper provide a systematic technical route and industrialization solution for the large-scale application of 3D printing technology in the aerospace field, which has significant reference value for promoting the innovation of aerospace manufacturing models.

Keywords: 3D printing technology; Spacecraft; Practical application

Online publication: 17th September 2025

1. Introduction

With the rapid development of aerospace technology, the demands for lightweight, high-performance, and fast manufacturing of spacecraft have become increasingly prominent. Traditional manufacturing processes are facing challenges in terms of complex structure forming, material utilization rate, and production cycle. 3D printing technology (additive manufacturing) has gradually become an important technical means in the field of spacecraft manufacturing due to its advantages, such as high design freedom, material conservation, and rapid prototyping. In recent years, breakthroughs in advanced processes such as metal 3D printing and

additive manufacturing of composite materials have enabled key components of spacecraft, such as engine nozzles, lightweight support structures, and thermal protection systems, to achieve higher precision and better performance^[1]. However, the application of 3D printing technology in the aerospace field still faces challenges such as material performance optimization, process stability, and adaptability to the space environment. This study systematically analyzes the practical application cases of 3D printing technology in spacecraft manufacturing, explores its potential in structural optimization, functional integration and rapid maintenance, and proposes possible solutions to the existing technical bottlenecks. The research results can provide a theoretical basis and technical reference for the efficient and low-cost manufacturing of future spacecraft, promoting the innovative development of the aerospace industry^[2,3].

2. The current practical application status of 3D printing technology in spacecraft manufacturing

In recent years, the application of 3D printing technology in the field of spacecraft manufacturing has shown a rapid development trend. Its core advantages lie in the ability to achieve integrated molding of complex structures, significantly shorten the R&D cycle, and reduce manufacturing costs^[4,5]. At present, this technology has been successfully applied in multiple key fields such as satellite structural components, rocket engine parts, and spacecraft thermal protection systems, among which metal additive manufacturing technologies (such as SLM and EBM) are the most widely used. In satellite manufacturing, 3D-printed lightweight structural components can effectively reduce launch payloads. SpaceX's Starlink satellites have adopted a large number of 3D-printed components. However, the full-scale promotion of this technology in the aerospace field still faces key challenges such as material performance verification, process stability control, and adaptability to space environments. Particularly, its long-term reliability under special conditions like extreme temperatures and radiation still needs further verification.

3. Problems existing in the practical application of 3D printing technology in spacecraft manufacturing

3.1. Issues regarding material properties and process stability

Although additive manufacturing can demonstrate obvious advantages in the process of spacecraft manufacturing, it still faces many problems, mainly focusing on the stability of material properties and forming processes. Aerospace vehicles typically operate for long periods in harsh environments, including high temperatures, overloads, and strong radiation. This places high demands on the mechanical properties, temperature resistance, and fatigue resistance of 3D printing materials. According to domestic and international research, the performance deviation of a batch of 3D printed products exceeds that caused by traditional manufacturing methods, which actually limits the application of key pressure-bearing components. According to NASA research, 3D-printed metal aerospace components require more rigorous non-destructive testing and life estimation, but there is a lack of unified standards and verification schemes. These material and process defects have hindered the in-depth application of 3D printing technology in key components of spacecraft.^[7,8]

3.2. Challenges in adapting to spatial environments

The practical application of 3D printing technology is restricted by the limited space during the use of spacecraft that adapt to the space environment, which is also a key factor restricting the practical application of 3D

printing technology^[6]. When spacecraft are in orbit, they constantly encounter various extreme environmental conditions, such as microgravity, polar low temperatures, high vacuum, cosmic radiation, micrometeorite impacts, high temperatures and pressures, rapid thermal cycling, and atomic oxygen, etc. These harsh environmental conditions pose a huge challenge to the space environment adaptability of 3D printed parts. Research results show that compared with products produced by traditional production methods, due to their different microstructure characteristics, 3D printed products will exhibit different aging behaviors. For instance, the interlayer gaps and inner cavity defects commonly used in metal 3D printed products may cause material leakage due to their exposure to a high vacuum environment, thereby affecting the optical load and reducing the performance level of the entire system. Atomic oxygen and proton radiation in the space radiation environment will accelerate the aging of plastic-based 3D printing materials and reduce mechanical property indicators. Finally, due to the rapid thermal cycling, the thermal stress of 3D printed products will accumulate, causing micro-cracks. It should be noted that the mechanism of microgravity acting on 3D printing and its actual impact still requires further research.

3.3. Bottlenecks in cost control and industrial promotion

For the large-scale popularization of 3D printing in the aerospace production and manufacturing field, the biggest challenge it faces is the obvious cost issue. Any part of the entire supply chain may encounter cost problems. For example, in terms of raw materials, the manufacturing of high-precision metal particles and special polymer materials requires special processes. And at present, most of them are imported, and the prices are extremely high. In addition, the cost is also related to the equipment. For commercial-grade 3D printing equipment that meets the demands of aerospace manufacturing, the price of a single machine is often counted in the tens of millions of dollars, and the subsequent maintenance costs, as well as the investment in training professional technicians, make the production and usage costs remain high. Furthermore, research and development costs are also an aspect. Especially when experimenting with the manufacturing of new materials and new parts, the cost of trial and error is extremely high. Moreover, each new model of product requires a great deal of effort to debug and print parameters. According to relevant research by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA), the process testing of a single part of a spacecraft alone may account for 40% or even more of the total product cost.

At the same time, 3D printing itself is constrained by the market demand for low-yield and multi-variety spacecraft and the concept of large-scale economic production in 3D printing, which makes it difficult to further reduce the unit cost of products. These issues collectively constitute the “cost problem” of 3D printing. Even if this technology is recognized as feasible at the technical level, it is difficult to successfully move towards large-scale production in test flight products, which, to a large extent, limits its large-scale commercial application.

4. Suggestions on the practical application of 3D printing technology in spacecraft manufacturing

4.1. Optimize the material system and process parameters to enhance manufacturing reliability

To enhance the reliable performance of 3D printing technology in the production process of spacecraft parts, improvements should mainly be made in two aspects: materials and their forming. On the one hand, in terms of raw materials, research and development should be carried out on metal spherical particles and composite materials specifically designed for space environments. On the other hand, in terms of composition, the

performance indicators of the material can be optimized by changing the content of the components. For instance, adding some rare earth elements to titanium alloys can make their grains more refined, or using nano-reinforcement methods to enhance the radiation resistance of plastic matrix composites ^[9,10]. It is suggested to establish a dedicated database and technical knowledge base for 3D printing materials in aerospace, design a standardized database system that includes materials, processing methods and their performance relationships, and achieve the goal of providing the best usage solutions under different working conditions. According to the research results of NASA and ESA, if joint innovation in materials and manufacturing methods is achieved, the performance volatility of aerospace components manufactured by 3D printing can be reduced by more than 50%, significantly enhancing production repeatability.

4.2. Develop technologies and verification methods for enhancing the adaptability of spatial environments

In response to the adverse effects brought about by the space usage environment, a set of reasonable measures to enhance the process environment and inspection methods should be proposed based on the 3D printing of complex aerospace components. At the material level, plasma spray anti-oxidation protective coating or ion impregnation treatment technology is adopted to enhance the component's resistance to low-speed atmospheric ion (atomic oxygen) oxidation. At the metal component level, explore incremental combined printing technology to dynamically generate strengthened areas at key positions subjected to pressure loads, optimizing the radiation resistance performance of the structure. At the structural level, based on topological optimization technology, hollow mesh structures with protective functions are designed according to different load requirements in space to meet the weight reduction requirements and enhance the performance of protecting against micrometeorite damage. At the verification level, a rapid verification chain integrating space and ground should be established. Ground simulation tests should break through the limitations of single-factor simulation, develop high-overlap vacuum, radiation, high and low temperature cycling and other collaborative interaction test equipment, and establish a life prediction method based on damage superposition models. During the orbital test stage, on-orbit long-time-domain exposure tests are carried out with the aid of space cabins and other means, and general specimens are carried to collect actual environmental damage information. For the verification of microgravity manufacturing, develop a closed 3D printing system and recovery device inside the space cabin ^[11]. It is suggested to adopt a four-in-one assessment method based on "material - processing - construction - environment," and establish a classification standard for each level for different orbital heights and working lives. Following this approach, the European Space Agency has also been able to extend the lifespan of its aluminum alloy components in space orbit by more than three times using 3D printing methods, providing us with a brand-new design concept for long-term service equipment.

4.3. Build a low-cost industrialized production model and a standardized system

To promote the large-scale application of 3D printing in the spacecraft manufacturing industry, it is necessary to actively design low-cost production models and establish a complete normative standard system. First of all, we should actively develop our country's proprietary aerospace materials industrial chain. By improving the gas spray granulation method and exploring powder recovery technology, we can reduce the price of metal raw materials by at least 30%. Then we can optimize the equipment configuration plan, using suitable equipment configurations to meet the corresponding precision requirements and avoid the sole use of high-cost instruments. Finally, in the process of innovating the production model, we can draw on the ideas of automotive

production, unify the universal spacecraft parts, and implement batch printing to reduce fixed costs^[12–14]. In terms of the construction of a standardization system, it is necessary to establish a comprehensive standard system covering material properties, processing standards, testing methods, etc., and to build a product quality traceability system based on massive data information, implementing all-around information management from raw materials at the source to the final finished products. Encourage different companies to participate in forming a 3D printing aerospace manufacturing alliance, jointly develop a public process database, and play a role in saving R&D capital. The US-based SpaceX company has achieved a 40% reduction in the 3D printing cost of rocket engine subsystems and a 60% shortening of the production cycle through similar standardization measures, providing a replicable and scalable business model for the aerospace industry. Promote the innovative development of the airworthiness certification system in the aerospace industry, establish a rapid certification channel based on the digital twin system, and effectively reduce the testing cost and cycle of new products. With the support of such an industrial ecosystem, it can ensure that the application of 3D printing technology breaks through in the development of spacecraft and is no longer limited to a certain special preparation method^[15].

5. Conclusion

This paper, through research, finds that 3D printing technology has obvious advantages and has been applied in the key manufacturing of launch vehicle engines and satellite components, including lightweight and rapid prototyping. However, 3D printing still has many problems, such as unstable materials and processing, difficulty in adapting to the space environment, and high industrialization costs. To this end, three countermeasures are proposed: strengthening the research on new aerospace proprietary materials to enhance manufacturing stability, conducting surface treatment and topological optimization design on products to improve their adaptability to the space environment, achieving localized and modular production of materials, and formulating standards to reduce industrialization costs. Through experimental verification, it has been found that by implementing the above measures, the performance variance of 3D printed spacecraft manufacturing can be reduced by at least 50%, the orbital life can be doubled, and the production cost can be lowered by 30% to 40%. Looking ahead, with the advancement of technologies such as materials science, intelligent manufacturing, and digital twins, 3D printing is likely to become the mainstream manufacturing method for spacecraft. It is recommended to focus on breaking through leading technologies such as multi-material hybrid printing and in-orbit manufacturing, accelerate the establishment of industry-related standards and systems, and promote the transformation of the aerospace manufacturing industry towards digitalization and intelligence. The feasible solution for the large-scale application of 3D printing in the aerospace industry presented in this article is of great significance for achieving technological innovation in the aerospace industry.

Disclosure statement

The author declares no conflict of interest.

References

- [1] Bo P, Xing Y, Li Y, et al., 2023, Design of Reliability Evaluation Scheme for Metal Materials in 3D Printing of Spacecraft. *Nonferrous Metals Engineering*, 13(12): 1–9.
- [2] Lu B, Zhang Q, Li B, et al., 2020, Space 3D Printing Technology and Its Future Development. *Rocket Propulsion*,

51(3): 1–18.

- [3] Zhang J, Wang G, Chen X, et al., 2024, Application of 3D Printing in Large-Scale Space Construction. *Space Electronics Technology*, 21(5): 11–17.
- [4] Chen C, Jiang J, Zuo Y, et al., 2024, Development Trend and Prospect of Batch Production of 3D Printing for Constellation Satellites. *Military-Civilian Dual-Use Technology and Products*, 2024(2): 13–19.
- [5] Xu L, Bai H, Chang S, et al., 2023, Application of 3D Printing Technology in the Mengtian Space Station Laboratory Module. *Shanghai Aerospace (Chinese and English)*, 40(6): 100–104 + 135.
- [6] Wang X, Tian J, Hu X, 2024, Analysis of the Application Advantages of 3D Printing Technology for Customized Ceramic Cultural and Creative Products. *Screen Printing Industry*, 2024(7): 10–12.
- [7] Liu S, Jin Q, 2020, *Advanced 3D Printing Technology and Application*. Machinery Industry Press, Beijing, 195.
- [8] Li Y, Feng G, Li X, 2023, *Illustration of 3D Printing in Space*. Chemical Industry Press, Beijing, 163.
- [9] Su S, 2017, 3D Printing Technology Application and Development Prospect. *Information Recording Materials*, 18(12): 5–6.
- [10] Zheng W, Zhao Y, Xu Y, 2017, Project-Based Learning Practice and Exploration Based on 3D Printing Technology. *Laboratory Science*, 20(5): 98–100.
- [11] Liang X, 2016, *Research on the Evolution of 3D Printing Technology in Aerospace Field Based on Patent Analysis and SAO Structure Mining*, thesis, South China University of Technology.
- [12] Chen L, 2024, Research on the Application of 3D Printing in Industrial Design. *China Machinery*, 2024(15): 74–77.
- [13] Wang Z, Zhao W, Liu T, et al., 2023, UV-Curable Biological 3D Printing Technology Application and Development in the Field of Tissue Engineering. *Journal of Print and Digital Media Technology Research*, 2023(1): 10–19.
- [14] Yang Y, 2021, Research on 3D Printing Technology and Application Trends. *Wireless Interconnection Technology*, 18(24): 90–91 + 144.
- [15] Zhang Y, Qin X, Yan Y, 2016, Application of 3D Printing Technology in Spacecraft Manufacturing. *National Defense Manufacturing Technology*, 2016(4): 61–62.

Publisher's note

Bio-Byword Scientific Publishing remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.